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## I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

Q. Please state your name, position and business address.
A. My name is Steven E. Mullen. I am employed by the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission as Assistant Director of the Electric Division. My business address is 21 South Fruit Street, Suite 10, Concord, New Hampshire.

## Q. Please summarize your educational background and work experience.

A. In 1989, I graduated magna cum laude from Plymouth State College with a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting. I attended the NARUC Annual Regulatory Studies Program at Michigan State University in 1997. In 1999, I attended the Eastern Utility Rate School sponsored by Florida State University. I am a Certified Public Accountant and have obtained numerous continuing education credits in accounting, auditing, tax, finance and utility related courses.

From 1989 through 1996, I was employed as an accountant with Chester C. Raymond, Public Accountant in Manchester, NH. My duties involved preparation of financial statements and tax returns as well as participation in year-end engagements. In 1996, I joined the Commission as a PUC Examiner in the Finance Department. In that capacity I participated in field audits of regulated utilities' books and records in the electric, telecommunications, water, sewer and gas industries. I also performed rate of return analysis, participated in financing dockets and presented oral testimony before the

Commission. In 1998, I was promoted to the position of Utility Analyst III and continued to work in all of the regulated industry fields, although the largest part of my time was concentrated on electric and water issues. As part of an internal reorganization of the Commission's Staff in 2001, I became a member of the Electric Division. I was promoted to Utility Analyst IV in 2007 and then Assistant Director of the Electric Division in 2008. Working with the Electric Division Director, I am responsible for the day-to-day management of the Electric Division including decisions on matters of policy. In addition, $I$ evaluate and make recommendations concerning rate, financing, accounting and other general industry filings. I represent Staff in meetings with company officials, outside attorneys, accountants and consultants relative to the Commission's policies, procedures, Uniform System of Accounts, rate case, financing and other industry and regulatory matters.

## Q. Have you previously testified before this Commission?

A. Yes. I have testified before the Commission on numerous occasions.
Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
A. The purpose of my testimony is to provide comments and recommendations regarding Public Service Company of New Hampshire's (PSNH) September 24, 2009 filing requesting an adjustment to its Stranded Cost Recovery Charge (SCRC) effective with service rendered on and after January 1, 2010.
Q. Did PSNH request a specific adjustment to its SCRC rate in its filing?
A. No. Based on its then-current estimate of SCRC revenues and expenses for calendar year 2010, PSNH provided a preliminary calculation of an overall average 2010 SCRC rate of 1.02 cents per kilowatt-hour ( kWh ). That rate would be a decrease of 0.12 cents per kWh
from the current overall average rate of 1.14 cents per kWh .
Q. Why is PSNH only providing its preliminary estimate of the SCRC rate at this time?
A. Similar to prior SCRC rate setting proceedings, in its initial filing PSNH provides its then-current estimate of the SCRC rate. The rate calculation is subsequently updated just prior to hearing to adjust for the most recent information available pertaining to such items as a) PSNH's estimates of the above-market cost of purchases from independent power producers ("IPPs") and b) any under- or over-collection of SCRC costs for the then-current calendar year.
Q. When will PSNH update its calculation of the proposed 2010 SCRC rate in this proceeding?
A. Pursuant to the approved procedural schedule, PSNH will file updated information on December 7, 2009, with the hearing scheduled for Dccember 10.
Q. Do you have any concerns with the methodology PSNH used to calculate the proposed SCRC rate?
A. No. PSNH's methodology is consistent with prior SCRC proceedings. As various stranded cost components have become fully recovered in recent years, the calculations have become simpler and more routine.
Q. What are the major cost components of the SCRC that remain to be collected from customers?
A. The SCRC currently consists of Part 1 and Part 2 costs. Part 1 costs are the costs of paying the rate reduction bonds associated with the securitization of such cost items as the over-market portion of regulatory assets related to PSNH's prior ownership interest/entitlement in Seabrook Station as well as PSNH's prior
ownership share of Millstone 3. Part 2 costs consist mainly of two items: a) the over-market portion PSNH's energy purchases from independent power producers (IPPs) pursuant to existing rate orders or contracts, and b) the up-front payments made for Commission-approved buyouts and buydowns of certain IPP rate orders along with PSNH's share of the savings associated with those buyouts and buydowns.

## Q. When is PSNH's collection of Part 1 costs scheduled to end?

A. PSNH's final payment on the remaining series of securitization bonds is scheduled for April 2013, so Part 1 of the SCRC is scheduled to be fully recovered by May 2013.

## Q. Can that schedule be changed?

A. No. The securitization bonds are AAA rated bonds and have many restrictions and conditions including first priority of payment from SCRC revenues. Any attempt to change that schedule would be very problematic.

## Q. When is Part 2 scheduled to end?

A. PSNH is obligated under existing rate orders and contracts to purchase energy and/or capacity from various facilities for future periods that extend as far as the year 2023. However, an examination of the pricing terms of those agreements reveals that, for the three agreements that extend the longest into the future, the energy and capacity pricing terms are based on PSNH's avoided costs, or market prices, in the later years of the agreements. With respect to the over-market portion of energy and capacity payments, the last agreement to have a non-market based price is scheduled to terminate in 2018. Therefore, the component of Part 2 that relates to the over-market portion of PSNH's energy and capacity purchases can be seen as ending in 2018. As for the amortization of

PSNH's up-front payments and its portion of the savings related to prior IPP rate order and contract buyouts and buydowns, those costs are being amortized over the lives of the original agreements. The scheduled termination of those amortizations is in the year 2020.

## Q. Do you have a recommendation that could potentially shorten the remaining time for PSNH to collect some of its Part 2 stranded costs?

A. Yes. What I propose is that, with the completion of PSNH's recovery of its Part 1 costs in the first half of 2013, the recovery of the remaining unamortized balances of the buyout/buydown savings be accelerated so they are fully recovered by June 30, 2013. As I've calculated on Attachment SEM-1, the unamortized balance of the buyout/buydown regulatory asset as of December 31,2012 will be $\$ 7,733,451$. By continuing to amortize the asset over the remaining lives of the underlying rate orders and contracts, the estimated annual amortization for calendar year 2013 would be approximately $\$ 1.8$ million. Rather than providing recovery of only the $\$ 1.8$ million in that year, I propose that the entire $\$ 7.7$ million be recovered in 2013, with recovery ending by June 30, 2013.
Q. Why do you propose ending the recovery by June 30, 2013?
A. That date coincides with what is normally the last date of a period prior to the annual July $1^{\text {st }}$ mid-year adjustment of the SCRC. Completing the collection of the buyout/buydown amounts by June 30 would allow for a normal adjustment to the SCRC on July 1, 2013.
Q. Please explain how your proposal would impact the total buyout/buydown-related costs to be paid by PSNH customers.
A. Attachment SEM-1 is a schedule showing all of the unamortized individual buyout/buydown amounts as of December 31, 2008 along with the scheduled termination
date of each of the amortizations. In addition, I've calculated the annual amortization for each of the years 2009 through 2020. On the lower half of the page, I've calculated an estimated annual return based on the average outstanding balance for each year. On Attachment SEM-2, I've provided the same calculations, but have ended those calculations as of June 30, 2013 rather than December 31, 2020. As shown on line 37 of SEM-1 and SEM-2, in each case PSNH would receive the same total amount of $\$ 15,298,442$ that was remaining in the regulatory asset as of December 31, 2008. The difference comes from the amount of return PSNH customers would pay over time. A comparison of the total annual return amounts shown on line 36 of each attachment over the duration of the amortization periods shows that, on a nominal basis, my proposal results in PSNH customers paying approximately $\$ 1.1$ million less in total return. On a net present value basis, as shown on line 39 of each attachment, my proposal actually has a higher (approximately $\$ 250,000$ ) value to PSNH. That is simply because PSNH would recover the full amount of the regulatory asset sooner than it would under the current amortization schedule. The difference in net present value to customers depends on the assumed discount rate. At higher discount rates, the difference in NPV is greater (i.e., the cost to customers is greater), while at low discount rates, my proposal could actually provide additional customer benefit.

## Q. Are there any other potential revenue requirements impacts that could occur in 2013 as a result of your proposal?

A. As there are deferred taxes associated with the buyout and buydown transactions, the remaining deferred tax obligations would reverse in 2013. If the then-current tax rates differ from the tax rates used to calculate the deferred taxes, then there could be an
impact to PSNH's earnings. See Attachment SEM-4, a copy of PSNH's response to Staff set \#2, question \#2 in Docket No. DE 09-091, for additional information.

## Q. What rate of return did you apply to the average outstanding balances to derive the return component?

A. I calculated the return in accordance with the orders approving the buyouts and buydowns and used the "Stipulated Rate of Return," a term that originated in Docket No. DE 99-099 and the Agreement to Settle PSNH Restructuring. The Stipulated Rate of Return uses a capital structure that is weighted $60 \%$ long-term debt and $40 \%$ common equity. The common equity has an after-tax cost rate of $8 \%$ while for long-term debt I've used the weighted cost of long-term debt from PSNH's September 30, 2009 financial statements. I've provided the calculation of the rate of return on Attachment SEM-3.
Q. How will your proposal impact the SCRC costs to be recovered during 2013?
A. Using the current amortization schedule, the 2013 amortization expense associated with the buyouts and buydowns is approximately $\$ 1.8$ million, or $\$ 150,000$ month. Through April 30, 2013, the scheduled end of Part 1, the remaining balance of the buyout/buydown regulatory asset would be approximately $\$ 7.1$ million ( $\$ 7.7$ million as of $12 / 31 / 2012$ minus 4 months $X \$ 150,000$ ). If the remaining $\$ 7.1$ million is recovered during the months of May and June 2013, the monthly costs would be approximately $\$ 3.55$ million per month rather than $\$ 150,000$ per month. However, there would still be a reduction in the total monthly SCRC costs for those two months as compared to the monthly costs for January through April 2013 because once Part 1 costs end, total SCRC costs will be reduced by approximately $\$ 5$ million per month. Beginning in July 2013 and for every year through 2020, all of the costs associated with the buyouts and
buydowns would have been fully recovered by PSNH, so there would no longer be any monthly amortization expense nor any return added to what would have otherwise been an unamortized balance.
Q. Will your recommendation impact PSNH's 2010 SCRC costs or revenues or the calculation of the 2010 SCRC rate?
A. No. My recommendation simply gives the Commission, as well as other parties, something to consider for future implementation as a way to end recovery of certain of PSNH's stranded costs earlier than they otherwise would have been fully recovered. I've developed my recommendation in a way that reduces the overall amount of costs, on a nominal basis, to be paid by PSNH customers, while still allowing PSNH full recovery of the costs to which it is entitled.
Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
A. Yes, it does.

|  | IPP | Scheduled Termination Date | $\begin{gathered} 12 / 31 / 2008 \\ \text { Balance } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2009 <br> Amort. | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Amort. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $2011$ <br> Amort. | $2012$ <br> Amort | $2013$ <br> Amort | 2014 <br> Amort. | 2015 <br> Amort. | $2016$ <br> Amort. | $\begin{array}{r} 2017 \\ \text { Amort. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $2018$ <br> Amort. | $2019$ Amort. | Attachment 2020 Amort. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | China Mills | 4/22/2015 | 853,142 | 134,712 | 134,712 | 134,712 | 134,712 | 134,712 | 134,712 | 44,870 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Fiske Mill Hydro | 12/31/2015 | 689,612 | 98,520 | 98,520 | 98,520 | 98,520 | 98,520 | 98,520 | 98,492 |  |  | $4 \times$ | 4 | 4 $\square^{+}$ |
| 3 | Pittsfield HydroPower | 6/26/2015 | 303,563 | 46,704 | 46,704 | 46,704 | 46,704 | 46,704 | 46,704 | 23,339 |  |  | \% ${ }^{\text {S }}$ |  |  |
| 4 | River-Bell Mil//Elm St Hydro | 3/29/2013 | 54,945 | 12,924 | 12,924 | 12,924 | 12,924 | 3,249 |  |  |  |  | ¢ | 4. | 4 |
| 5 | Woodsville/Rochester (Wyandotte) | 5/23/2013 | 22,253 | 5,052 | 5,052 | 5,052 | 5,052 | 2.045 |  |  |  | , | $3 \times$ |  | 4 |
| 6 | Bio Energy | 7/31/2015 | 1,891,824 | 291,168 | 291,168 | 291,168 | 291,168 | 291,168 | 291,168 | 144,816 |  |  | , |  |  |
| 7 | Steels pond Hydro | 12/20/2014 | 663,143 | 110,532 | 110,532 | 110,532 | 110,532 | 110,532 | 110,483 |  |  |  | \% |  |  |
| 8 | Ashuelot Hydro | 12/31/2015 | 1,214,270 | 173,472 | 173,472 | 173,472 | 173,472 | 173,472 | 173,472 | 173,438 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| 9 | Avery Dam | 12/31/2015 | 579,042 | 82,716 | 82,716 | 82,716 | 82,716 | 82,716 | 82,716 | 82,746 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Lower Robertson Dam | 12/31/2015 | 1,282,113 | 183,168 | 183,168 | 183,168 | 183,168 | 183,168 | 183,168 | 183,105 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Greggs Falls | 12/31/2020 | 3,431,975 | 285,996 | 285,996 | 285,996 | 285,996 | 285,996 | 285,996 | 285,996 | 285,996 | 285,996 | 6 | 6 |  |
| 12 | Hopkinton Hydro | 11/20/2014 | 104,182 | 17,616 | 17,616 | 17,616 | 17,616 | 17,616 | 16,102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Lochmere Dam | 1/29/2015 | 556,920 | 91,548 | 91,548 | 91,548 | 91,548 | 91,548 | 91,548 | 7,632 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | Milton Mills Hydro | 7/27/2012 | 317,039 | 88,464 | 88,464 | 88,464 | 51,647 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Pembroke Hydro | 12/31/2020 | 3,334,419 | 277,860 | 277,860 | 277,860 | 277.860 | 277.860 | 277,860 | 277,860 | 277,860 | 277,860 | 277,860 | 277,860 | 277,959 |
| 16 | Totals |  | 15,298,442 | 1,900,452 | 1,900,452 | 1,900,452 | 1,863,635 | 1,799,306 | 1,792.449 | 1,322,294 | 563,856 | 563,856 | 563,856 | 563.856 | 563,978 |


| 17 | China Mills |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18 | Fiske Mill Hydro |  |
| 19 | Pittsfield HydroPower |  |
| 20 | River-Bell Mill/Elm St Hydro |  |
| 21 | Woodsville/Rochester (Wyandotte) |  |
| 22 | Bio Energy |  |
| 23 | Steels pond Hydro |  |
| 24 | Ashuelot Hydro |  |
| 25 | Avery Dam |  |
| 26 | Lower Robertson Dam |  |
| 27 | Greggs Falls |  |
| 28 | Hopkinton Hydro |  |
| 29 | Lochmere Dam |  |
| 30 | Milton Mills Hydro |  |
| 31 | Pembroke Hydro |  |
|  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 33 | Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes |  |

34 Average Deferred IPP
$35 \times \%$ Return (using 9/30/09 return)
36 Annual Return
37 Annual Amortization
38 Total Annual Amortization/Return

|  | $\begin{gathered} 12 / 31 / 2009 \\ \text { Balance } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 / 31 / 2010 \\ & \text { Balance } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 / 31 / 2011 \\ \text { Balance } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 / 31 / 2012 \\ & \text { Balance } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 / 31 / 2013 \\ \text { Balance } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 / 31 / 2014 \\ & \text { Balance } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 / 31 / 2015 \\ \text { Balance } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 / 31 / 2016 \\ \text { Balance } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 / 31 / 2017 \\ \text { Balance } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 / 31 / 2018 \\ \text { Balance } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 / 31 / 2019 \\ \text { Balance } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 12/31/2020 } \\ \text { Balance } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 853,142 | 718.430 | 583,718 | 449,006 | 314,294 | 179,582 | 44,870 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 689,612 | 591.092 | 492,572 | 394,052 | 295,532 | 197,012 | 98,492 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 303,563 | 256,859 | 210,155 | 163,451 | 116,747 | 70,043 | 23,339 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54,945 | 42,021 | 29,097 | 16,173 | 3,249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22,253 | 17,201 | 12,149 | 7,097 | 2,045 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,891,824 | 1,600,656 | 1,309,488 | 1,018,320 | 727.152 | 435,984 | 144,816 | \% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 663,143 | 552,611 | 442,079 | 331,547 | 221,015 | 110,483 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,214,270 | 1,040,798 | 867,326 | 693,854 | 520,382 | 346,910 | 173,438 |  | , | 8 | * | ¢ |  |
| 579,042 | 496,326 | 413,610 | 330,894 | 248,178 | 165,462 | 82,746 |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1,282,113 | 1,098,945 | 915,777 | 732,609 | 549,441 | 366,273 | 183,105 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3,431,975 | 3,145,979 | 2,859,983 | 2,573,987 | 2,287,991 | 2,001,995 | 1,715,999 | 1,430,003 | 1,144,007 | 858,011 | 572,015 | 286,019 |  |
| 104,182 | 86,566 | 68,950 | 51,334 | 33,718 | 16,102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 556,920 | 465,372 | 373,824 | 282,276 | 190,728 | 99,180 | 7,632 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| 317.039 | 228,575 | 140,111 | 51,647 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3,334,419 | 3,056,559 | 2,778,699 | 2,500,839 | 2,222,979 | 1,945,119 | 1,667,259 | 1,389,399 | 1,111,539 | 833,679 | 555,819 | 277,959 |  |

15,298,442
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}13,397,990 & 11,497,538 & 9,597,086 & 7,733,451 & 5,934,145 & 4,141,696 & 2,819,402 & 2,255,546 & 1,691,690 & 1,127,834 & 563,978\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}(5,783,014) & (5,064,618) & (4,346,222) & (3,627,826) & (2,923,347) & (2,243,186) & (1,565,616) & (1,065,771) & (852,626) & (639,481) & (426,336) \quad(213,191)\end{array}$

| 9,515,428 | 8,333,372 | 7,151,316 | 5,969,260 | 4,810,104 | 3,690,959 | 2,576,080 | 1,753,631 | 1,402,920 | 1,052,209 | 701,498 | 50.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| $8,924,400$ | $7,742,344$ | $6,560,288$ | $5,389,682$ | $4,250,532$ | $3,133,520$ | $2,164,855$ | $1,578,275$ | $1,227,564$ | 876,853 | 526,142 | 175,393 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $8.632 \%$ | $8.632 \%$ | $8,632 \%$ | $8,632 \%$ | $8.632 \%$ | $8.632 \%$ | $8.632 \%$ | $8.632 \%$ | $8.632 \%$ | $8.632 \%$ | $8.632 \%$ | $8.632 \%$ |  |
| 770,397 | 668,356 | 566,316 | 465,263 | 366,926 | 270,500 | 186,881 | 136,244 | 105,969 | 75,694 | 45,419 | 15,141 | $3,673,107$ |
| $1,900,452$ | $1,900,452$ | $1,900,452$ | $1,863,635$ | $1,799,306$ | $1,792,449$ | $1,322,294$ | 563,856 | 563,856 | 563,856 | 563,856 | 563,978 | $15,298,442$ |
| $2,670,849$ | $2,568,808$ | $2,466,768$ | $2,328,898$ | $2,166,232$ | $2,062,949$ | $1,509,175$ | 700,100 | 669,825 | 639,550 | 609,275 | 579,119 |  |

39 NPV @ $12 / 31 / 09$ (incl. return @ 8.632\%)

|  | IPP | Scheduled Termination Date | $\begin{gathered} 12 / 31 / 2008 \\ \text { Balance } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $2009$ Amort. | 2010 <br> Amort. | $2011$ <br> Amort | $2012$ <br> Amort. | $2013$ Amort. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | China Milils | 4/22/2015 | 853,142 | 134,712 | 134,712 | 134,712 | 134,712 | 314,294 |  |
| 2 | Fiske Mill Hydro | 12/31/2015 | 689,612 | 98,520 | 98,520 | 98,520 | 98,520 | 295,532 |  |
| 3 | Pittsfield HydroPower | 6/26/2015 | 303,563 | 46,704 | 46,704 | 46,704 | 46,704 | 116,747 |  |
| 4 | River-Bell Mill/Elm St Hydro | 3/29/2013 | 54,945 | 12,924 | 12,924 | 12,924 | 12,924 | 3,249 |  |
| 5 | Woodsville/Rochester (Wyandotte) | 5/23/2013 | 22,253 | 5,052 | 5,052 | 5,052 | 5,052 | 2,045 |  |
| 6 | Bio Energy | 7/31/2015 | 1,891,824 | 291,168 | 291,168 | 291,168 | 291,168 | 727,152 |  |
| 7 | Steels pond Hydro | 12/20/2014 | 663,143 | 110,532 | 110,532 | 110,532 | 110,532 | 221,015 |  |
| 8 | Ashuelot Hydro | 12/31/2015 | 1,214,270 | 173,472 | 173,472 | 173.472 | 173,472 | 520,382 |  |
| 9 | Avery Dam | 12/31/2015 | 579,042 | 82,716 | 82,716 | 82,716 | 82,716 | 248,178 |  |
| 10 | Lower Robertson Dam | 12/31/2015 | 1,282,113 | 183,168 | 183,168 | 183,168 | 183,168 | 549,441 |  |
| 11 | Greggs Falls | 12/31/2020 | 3,431,975 | 285,996 | 285,996 | 285,996 | 285,996 | 2,287,991 |  |
| 12 | Hopkinton Hydro | 11/20/2014 | 104,182 | 17,616 | 17.616 | 17,616 | 17,616 | 33,718 |  |
| 13 | Lochmere Dam | 1/29/2015 | 556,920 | 91,548 | 91,548 | 91,548 | 91,548 | 190,728 |  |
| 14 | Milton Mills Hydro | 7/27/2012 | 317,039 | 88,464 | 88,464 | 88,464 | 51,647 |  |  |
| 15 | Pembroke Hydro | 12/31/2020 | 3,334,419 | 277,860 | 277,860 | 277,860 | 277,860 | 2,222,979 |  |
| 16 | Totals |  | 15,298,442 | 1,900,452 | 1,900,452 | 1,900,452 | 1,863,635 | 7,733,451 |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 12 / 31 / 2009 \\ \text { Balance } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 / 31 / 2010 \\ \text { Balance } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 / 31 / 2011 \\ \text { Balance } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 / 31 / 2012 \\ \text { Balance } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 / 30 / 2013 \\ \text { Balance } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 17 | China Mills |  | 853,142 | 718,430 | 583,718 | 449,006 | 314,294 | - |  |
| 18 | Fiske Mill Hydro |  | 689,612 | 591,092 | 492,572 | 394,052 | 295,532 | - |  |
| 19 | Pittsfield HydroPower |  | 303,563 | 256,859 | 210,155 | 163,451 | 116,747 | - |  |
| 20 | River-Bell Mill/Elm St Hydro |  | 54,945 | 42,021 | 29,097 | 16,173 | 3,249 | M+ |  |
| 21 | Woodsville/Rochester (Wyandotte) |  | 22,253 | 17,201 | 12,149 | 7,097 | 2,045 |  |  |
| 22 | Bio Energy |  | 1,891,824 | 1,600,656 | 1,309,488 | 1,018,320 | 727,152 | ..ss |  |
| 23 | Steels pond Hydro |  | 663.143 | 552,611 | 442,079 | 331,547 | 221,015 | - |  |
| 24 | Ashuelot Hydro |  | 1,214,270 | 1,040,798 | 867,326 | 693,854 | 520,382 | - |  |
| 25 | Avery Dam |  | 579,042 | 496,326 | 413,610 | 330,894 | 248,178 | - |  |
| 26 | Lower Robertson Dam |  | 1,282,113 | 1,098,945 | 915,777 | 732,609 | 549,441 | - |  |
| 27 | Greggs Falls |  | 3,431,975 | 3,145,979 | 2,859,983 | 2,573,987 | 2,287,991 | - |  |
| 28 | Hopkinton Hydro |  | 104,182 | 86,566 | 68,950 | 51,334 | 33,718 | - |  |
| 29 | Lochmere Dam |  | 556,920 | 465,372 | 373,824 | 282,276 | 190,728 | - |  |
| 30 | Milton Mills Hydro |  | 317.039 | 228,575 | 140,111 | 51,647 |  | W, |  |
| 31 | Pembroke Hydro |  | 3,334,419 | 3,056,559 | 2,778,699 | 2,500,839 | 2,222,979 | - |  |
| 32 | Totals |  | 15,298,442 | 13,397,990 | 11,497,538 | 9,597,086 | 7,733,451 | - |  |
| 33 | Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes |  | $(5,783,014)$ | $(5,064,618)$ | (4,346,222) | $(3,627,826)$ | $(2,923,347)$ | - |  |
|  |  |  | 9,515,428 | 8,333,372 | 7,151,316 | 5,969,260 | 4,810,104 | - |  |
| 34 | Average Deferred IPP |  |  | 8,924,400 | 7,742,344 | 6,560,288 | 5,389,682 | 2,405,052 |  |
| 35 | X \% Return (using 9/30/09 return) |  |  | 8.632\% | 8.632\% | 8.632\% | 8.632\% | 4.316\% |  |
| 36 | Annual Return |  |  | 770,397 | 668,356 | 566,316 | 465,263 | 103,808 | 2,574,140 |
| 37 | Annual Amortization |  |  | 1,900,452 | 1,900,452 | 1,900,452 | 1,863,635 | 7,733,451 | 15,298,442 |
| 38 | Total Annual Amortization/Return |  |  | 2,670,849 | 2,568,808 | 2,466,768 | 2,328,898 | 7,837,259 | 17,872,582 |

## Public Service Company of New Hampshire Cost of Capital @ Stipulated Rate of Return

| Component | Component Ratio | Cost Rate | Weighted Average Cost Rate | Cost Rate Incl. <br> Tax Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Common Equity | 40.00\% | 8.000\% | $3.200 \%$ | 5.380\% |
| Long-Term Debt | 60.00\% | 5.420\% | 3.252\% | 3.252\% |
|  | 100.00\% |  | 6.452\% | 8.632\% |

Public Service Company of New<br>Hampshire<br>Docket No. DE 09-091
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## Witness: <br> Request from: <br> Robert A. Baumann <br> New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission Staff

## Question:

Reference response to NSTF-01, Q-STAFF-002. Please provide the tax implications if the remaining balances of the IPP buyouts and buy downs were to be fully recovered in one year.

## Response:

The majority of these transactions resulted in PSNH recording a deferred tax obligation. These deferred taxes are currently being reversed over time as the related regulatory asset is being recovered and amortized.

If the remaining balances of the IPP buy-outs were fully recovered in one year, the related deferred tax obligation would reverse at the established level and in the same time period. The revenues used to recover these assets would be taxed at the current rate. Any differential between current and deferred tax rates would have an earnings impact.

